

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

LETTERS PATENT APPEAL No 350 of 1990

in

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 7047 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL

and

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

CANARA BANK

Versus

JATASHANKER A. PANDEY

Appearance:

MR RA MISHRA for Appellant

SERVED BY RPAD - (R) for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL

and

MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

Date of decision: 13/03/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

This appeal, under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent, is directed against interim order dt. October 19, 1988 passed by the learned Single Judge in Special Civil Application No. 7047 of 1988.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant states at the Bar that as Special Civil Application No. 7047 of 1988 has been disposed of finally, the appeal from interim order passed in the petition has become infructuous and the same be disposed of accordingly.

3. As the main petition itself is disposed of, this appeal which is directed against interim order passed in the main petition does not survive. The appeal having become infructuous, deserves to be disposed of accordingly.

4. For the foregoing reasons, the appeal fails and is dismissed with no order as to costs. The appeal stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

Date: 13-03-2000. (J.M.PANCHAL,J.)

ccshah

(A.M.KAPADIA,J.)